

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,324	,	09/15/2003	Harold D. Beck	03-11	3791	
30699	7590	08/04/2006		EXAMINER		
	O PRODUC' FIGE PLACE	•	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER			
	SBURG, OH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1772		

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/663,324	BECK ET AL.	_
Examiner	Art Unit	
Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772	

	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 July 2006</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMEDIMENTS</u></li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 y must be filed within the time perion	7(e)), to avoid dismis od set forth in 37 CFR	sal of the 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		to all and a second second for the second	41.
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-10 and 20-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		ŧ	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A docuMOT release the contraction of		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	NO(S).  NIFER C. MCNEIL  NORY PATENT EXAM  12 06	
	.IEN	NIFER C. MCNEIL	MINER
	SUPERVIS	ORY PAICE.	

Application/Control Number: 10/663,324 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

### ADVISORY ACTION

## Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendments

1. The After Final Amendment filed July 21, 2006 has not been entered due to the fact that the amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The addition of the recitation "consisting essentially of" to claim 1 requires further consideration and/or search since the combination of a tubular structure consisting essentially of about 30 to 75% of an ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer and about 25 to 70% of one or more of the claimed additives has not been presented in any of the claims in the previous claim sets.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant states that the "[t]he examiner alleges that the saponified ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer of Igarashi et al contains ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer" but has not cited to the record where this is stated. Saponified ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer is an ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer because it is a copolymer that has repeating units of ethylene and vinyl acetate. Applicant's statement beginning in the sixth line of page 7 of the After Final Amdt. that "one would reason..." is unsupported. Applicant's argument in the first full paragraph of page 7 of the After Final Amdt. are based on Applicant's amendments in the After Final Amdt., which have not been answered for the reasons provided below.

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/663,324 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

1488. While the examiner sets his work schedule under the Increased Flexitime Policy, he can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:45am to 5:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is to 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter B. Aughenbaugh

08/02/06

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 8/2/06

JUK